

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/635,217	08/10/2000	Takayuki Tamura	566.38877X00	6505
20457	7590 11/05/2003	·	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			BATAILLE, PIERRE MICHE	
SUITE 1800		2 <u>.</u> 1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			2186	17
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	. 1/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	2			
Advisory Action	09/635,217	TAMURA ET AL.	\bigcirc 0			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pierre-Michel Bataille	2186				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 October 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF).	ppellant's Brief must be filed wit		orth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NO	√ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 6-16</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-5.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		10/20/2003				

Application/Control Number: 09/635,217

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office Action is responsive to Applicant's communication filed October 20, 2003 in response to the Office Rejection dated June 20, 2003. Applicant's arguments and/or amendments have been considered with the results that follow.
- 2. Claims 1-2, and 16-16 are now pending in the application.
- 3. The amendment has been entered and fully considered, but not make the application in condition for allowance for at least the following remarks.

DeRoo's disclosure features the process of reprogramming the EEPROM for routine system software maintenance to prevent the EEPROM be left in a partially erased and unusable state or to prevent that the system become inoperable due to unauthorized write/erase to the EEPROM. The system makes certain that in-circuit reprogramming is limited to a selected portion of the EEPROM, generally the boot block. DeRoo's system defines predetermined address range storing non-protected or user data accessible by the CPU and predetermined address range for storing predetermined program instructions (or critical data) for the system control processor (SCP). "Hardware protection of a password or other critical system data is provided by designating a segment of the common memory device 704 as a restricted segment. In the preferred embodiment, the lowest 256 bytes of the common memory device 704 fall may within the restricted segment. All passwords or the critical system data are stored

. 2406

Page 3

in the lowest 256 bytes of the shared memory device 704. The circuitry of this invention selectively blocks access by the CPU 702 to the restricted segment. The SCP 706 continues to have access to the restricted segment of the common memory device 704."

With the above remark, it is clear that DeRoo teaches: a) definition of a predetermined protected address range in a memory device corresponding to said predetermined readable and writable address range of a predetermined selectable variable size; b) responsive to said addressing signals, preventing or erase and write commands of said programmable memory device for addresses corresponding to said protected address range in said memory device to the CPU while the SPC accesses the programmable memory device for addresses corresponding to said protected address range.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre-Michel Bataille whose telephone number is (703) 305-0134. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri (7:30A to 6:00P).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew M. Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/635,217

Art Unit: 2186

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Pierre-Michel Bataille

Examiner Art Unit 2186

November 3, 2003